EPJ manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

DELTA EXCITATION IN DEUTERON-PROTON ELASTIC SCATTERING

N.B.Ladygina[1a]

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, LHEP, Dubna, Russia

arXiv:1606.06827v1 [nucl-th] 22 Jun 2016

Received: date / Revised version: date

Abstract. Deuteron-proton elastic scattering is studied in the multiple scattering expansion formalism. The four contributions are taken into account: one-nucleon-exchange, single- and double scattering, and Δ-isobar excitation. The presented approach was applied to describe the differential cross sections at deuteron energies between 500 and 1300 MeV in a whole angular range. The obtained results are compared with the experimental data.

PACS. 21.45.+v Few-body systems – 25.45.-z 2H-induced reactions – 25.45.De Elastic and inelastic scattering – 24.10.Jv Relativistic models

1 Introduction

In recent years heavy ion physics at high energies has been very popular. There are many efforts to study some systems containing a lot of nucleons. However, the energy in such reactions is quite large to appear the multiple nucleon scattering. Therefore, the problem of the nucleon-nucleon interaction is topical up to now. Even at low energies all the theoretical calculations are based on the phenomenological nucleon-nucleon potential. The problem becomes more difficult when the energy is enough for a manifestation of inelastic channels.

Elastic deuteron-proton scattering is the simplest example of the hadron nucleus collision, because a deuteron is the simplest nucleus containing only one proton and one neutron. The study of the deuteron-proton elastic scattering has a longtime story. The first nucleon-deuteron experiments were performed already in fifties of the previous century [1]-[7]. Differential cross sections [1]-[4] and polarization [5]-[7] were measured at a few hundred MeV. This topic has been intensively investigated in the 1970's and 80's [8]-[15]. Nowadays this reaction is still the subject of the investigations [16]-[20].

In the previous papers [21],[22] we considered this reaction in the multiple scattering expansion formalism. Three contributions, one-nucleon-exchange (ONE), single- scattering, and double scattering, were taken into account. We got a reasonable agreement for the differential cross sections between the theoretical predictions and the experimental data almost in a whole angular range. However, the rise of the differential cross sections at the scattering angle larger than 140° was not described in the approach.

In 1969 A.Kerman and L.Kisslinger supposed that resonances can play an important role in the deuteron-proton backward elastic scattering [23]. They suggested that a deuteron contains the N^* resonance with $0.5 - 1\%$ probability. However, an analysis of the experimental data using the Kerman- Kisslinger model [9] has demonstrated strong dependence of the obtained results on a deuteron wave function.

Later the double-scattering mechanism with Δ-isobar in the intermediate state was taken into account in the dp backward scattering. The significant contribution of this diagram to the reaction was shown in refs. [24]-[26]. However, the double scattering with nucleon in an intermediate state was not considered in these papers . Perhaps, it was the reason why the description of the differential cross sections energy dependence was not good enough.

The effort to take the Δ-isobar into account in order to describe dp-elastic scattering was also done in [27], [28]. [17], where the Δ-isobar term was included into the CD Bonn potential. In these papers deuteron-proton scattering was considered in a whole angular range, not only at $\theta^* = 180°$. Unfortunately, the process was studied at low energies, $T_p \leq 250$ MeV, where the Δ-isobar effects are negligible.

In this paper we keep on considering deuteron-proton elastic scattering in the framework offered in papers [21], [22]. Here we add the Δ-isobar contribution in our approach. The next part is devoted to the description of the general theoretical model. We briefly give the basic points of the multiple scattering approach. In section 3 the Δ-excitation term is considered in a detail. Also the kinematics of the double scattering is given, both for the delta and nucleon in the intermediate state. In the last section we discuss the obtained results and compare them with the experimental data.

Send offprint requests to:
[a] *Present address:* nladygina@jinr.ru

2 General formalism

According to the three-body collision theory, the amplitude of deuteron-proton elastic scattering $\mathcal{J}$ is defined by the matrix element of the transition operator U_{11}:

$$U_{dp\to dp} = \delta(E_d + E_p - E'_d - E'_p)\mathcal{J} = \\ < 1(23)|[1 - P_{12} - P_{13}]U_{11}|1(23) > \quad . \tag{1}$$

Here the state $|1(23) >$ corresponds to the configuration, when nucleons 2 and 3 form the deuteron state and nucleon 1 is free. Appearance of the permutation operators for two nucleons P_{ij} reflects the fact that the initial and final states are antisymmetric due to an exchange of the two particles.

Following the Alt-Grassberger-Sandhas formalism [29], [30] we write the transition operator $U_{\beta\alpha}$ as:

$$U_{\beta\alpha} = -(1 - \delta_{\beta\alpha})(H_0 - z) - \sum_{\delta\neq\alpha} U_{\beta\delta} g_0 t_\delta. \tag{2}$$

This transition operator depends on the potential through the channel transition-operator t_α of the two-particle subsystems:

$$t_\alpha = V_\alpha - V_\alpha g_\alpha V_\alpha \\ t_\alpha = V_\alpha - V_\alpha g_0 t_\alpha, \tag{3}$$

where g_0 is a free three-particle propagator and g_α is a two-particle resolvent in the three-particle space. In such a way we get the following equations for the transition operators with the rearrangement:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{11} &= \quad t_2 g_0 U_{21} + t_3 g_0 U_{31} \\ U_{21} &= g_0^{-1} + t_1 g_0 U_{11} + t_3 g_0 U_{31} \\ U_{31} &= g_0^{-1} + t_1 g_0 U_{11} + t_2 g_0 U_{21} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $t_1 = t(2,3)$,etc., is a t-matrix of the two-particle interaction. The indices ij for the transition operators U_{ij} denote free particles i and j in the final and initial states, respectively.

Iterating these equations up to the second order terms of t_i we can present the reaction amplitude as a sum of the following four contributions: one nucleon exchange, single scattering and double scattering with the nucleon and delta in the intermediate state, –

$$\mathcal{J}_{dp\to dp} = \mathcal{J}_{ONE} + \mathcal{J}_{SS} + \mathcal{J}_{DS} + \mathcal{J}_{\Delta} \tag{5}$$

The first contribution into the dp-elastic scattering amplitude $\mathcal{J}$ in Eq.(5) is the one nucleon exchange (ONE) term.

$$\mathcal{J}_{ONE} = -2 < 1(23)|P_{12} g_0^{-1}|1(23) > \tag{6}$$

The corresponding diagram is presented in Fig.1a. Applying the definitions of the wave function of a moving deuteron and three- nucleon free propagator, we can write ONE amplitude in the following form:

$$\mathcal{J}_{ONE} = -2_{1(23)} < \boldsymbol{p}'m'\tau'; -\boldsymbol{P}_d\mathcal{M}'_d 0|\Omega_d^\dagger(23)P_{12} \\ (E_d + E_p - \hat{K}_1 - \hat{K}_2 - \hat{K}_3 + i\varepsilon) \\ \Omega_d(23)|\boldsymbol{P}_d\mathcal{M}_d 0; \boldsymbol{p}m\tau >_{1(23)} \quad , \tag{7}$$

where m, m' are spin projections of the initial and final protons, τ, τ' are their isospin projections, respectively. The kinetic-energy operator has a standard definition, $\hat{K}_i|\boldsymbol{p}_i >= \sqrt{m_N^2 + \boldsymbol{p}_i{}^2}|\boldsymbol{p}_i >$.

After a straightforward calculation we have the expression for the ONE amplitude

$$\mathcal{J}_{ONE} = -\frac{1}{2}(E_d - E_p - \sqrt{m_N^2 + \boldsymbol{p}\ ^2 - \boldsymbol{P}_d{}^2}) \cdot \\ < \boldsymbol{p}'m'; -\boldsymbol{P}_d\mathcal{M}'_d|\Omega_d^\dagger(23) \\ [1 + (\boldsymbol{\sigma_1}\boldsymbol{\sigma_2})]\Omega_d(23)|\boldsymbol{P}_d\mathcal{M}_d; \boldsymbol{p}m > \quad , \tag{8}$$

where the definition of the permutation operator in spin space $P_{12}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}[1 + (\boldsymbol{\sigma_1}\boldsymbol{\sigma_2})]$ has been applied.

All the calculations are performed in the deuteron Breit frame, where the deuterons move in opposite directions with equal momenta (Fig.1). It allows us to minimize the relative momenta of the nucleons in both deuterons. As a consequence, the non-relativistic deuteron wave function can be applied in the energy range under consideration.

In the rest frame the non-relativistic wave function of the deuteron depends only on one variable $\boldsymbol{p}_0$, which is the relative momentum of the outgoing proton and neutron:

$$< \mu_p\mu_n|\Omega_d|\mathcal{M}_d >= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} < \mu_p\mu_n|\{u(p_0) + \\ \frac{w(p_0)}{\sqrt{8}}[3(\boldsymbol{\sigma_1}\hat{p}_0)(\boldsymbol{\sigma_2}\hat{p}_0) - (\boldsymbol{\sigma_1}\ \boldsymbol{\sigma_2})]\}|\mathcal{M}_d >, \tag{9}$$

where $u(p_0)$ and $w(p_0)$ describe S and D components of the deuteron wave function [31], [32], [33], $\hat{p}_0$ is a unit vector in $\boldsymbol{p}_0$ direction.

In order to get the wave function of the moving deuteron, it is necessary to apply the Lorenz transformations for the kinematical variables and Wigner rotations for the spin states. This procedure has been expounded in a detail in ref.[21]. The proton-neutron relative momenta for the initial $\boldsymbol{p}_0$ and final $\boldsymbol{p}'_0$ deuterons are expressed in relativistic kinematics as:

$$\boldsymbol{p}_0 = \boldsymbol{p} + \boldsymbol{P}_d\left[1 + \frac{E_n + E^*}{E_p + E_n + E^*}\right] \\ \boldsymbol{p}'_0 = \boldsymbol{p} + \boldsymbol{P}_d\left[1 - \frac{E_n + E^*}{E_p + E_n + E^*}\right] \quad . \tag{10}$$

Here $E_n = \sqrt{m_N^2 + \boldsymbol{p}\ ^2 - \boldsymbol{P}_d{}^2}$ and $E^* = \sqrt{(E_p + E_n)^2 - \boldsymbol{P}_d{}^2}/2$ are the struck neutron energy in the moving deuteron frame and rest deuteron frame, respectively. Note, that $|\boldsymbol{p}_0| = |\boldsymbol{p}'_0|$.

The other term in the dp-elastic scattering amplitude Eq.(5) is the single scattering (SS) one.

$$\mathcal{J}_{SS} = 2 < 1(23)|[1 - P_{12}]t_3|1(23) > \tag{11}$$

The corresponding diagram is presented in Fig.(1b). Following a standard procedure we get the expression for the single scattering amplitude:

$$\mathcal{J}_{SS} = \int d\boldsymbol{p}_2 < -\boldsymbol{P}_d\mathcal{M}'_d|\Omega_d^\dagger|\boldsymbol{p}_2 m'', -\boldsymbol{P}_d - \boldsymbol{p}_2 m'_3 >$$

$$< \boldsymbol{p}\ 'm', -\boldsymbol{P}_d - \boldsymbol{p}_2|\frac{3}{2}t^1_{12} + \frac{1}{2}t^0_{12}|\boldsymbol{p}m, \boldsymbol{P}_d - \boldsymbol{p}_2 m'_2 >$$
$$< \boldsymbol{p}_2 m'', \boldsymbol{P}_d - \boldsymbol{p}_2 m'_2|\Omega_d|\boldsymbol{P}_d\mathcal{M}_d > \quad . \qquad (12)$$

The relative momenta of the two nucleons for the initial and final deuterons are

$$\boldsymbol{p}_0 = \boldsymbol{p}_2 - \boldsymbol{P}_d\frac{E_2 + E^*}{E_2 + E_3 + 2E^*} \qquad (13)$$
$$\boldsymbol{p}'_0 = \boldsymbol{p}_2 + \boldsymbol{P}_d\frac{E_2 + E'^*}{E_2 + E'_3 + 2E'^*} \quad ,$$

where nucleons energies E_2, E_3, E'_3 in the reference frame are defined by a standard manner (Fig.1b)

$$E_2 = \sqrt{m_N^2 + \boldsymbol{p}_2^2}\ , \qquad E_3 = \sqrt{m_N^2 + (\boldsymbol{P}_d - \boldsymbol{p}_2)^2}\ ,$$
$$E'_3 = \sqrt{m_N^2 + (\boldsymbol{P}_d + \boldsymbol{p}_2)^2} \qquad (14)$$

and these energies in the centre-of-mass of the two nucleons forming the initial and final deuterons are equal, correspondingly, to

$$E^* = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(E_2 + E_3)^2 - \boldsymbol{P}_d^2}, \qquad (15)$$
$$E'^* = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(E_2 + E'_3)^2 - \boldsymbol{P}_d^2} \quad .$$

The nucleon-nucleon scattering is described by the t-matrix element. We use the parameterization of this matrix offered by Love and Franey [34]. This is the on-shell NN t-matrix defined in the cente-of-mass:

$$< \varkappa^{*\prime}\mu'_1\mu'_2|t_{c.m.}|\varkappa^*\mu_1\mu_2 > = < \varkappa^{*\prime}\mu'_1\mu'_2|A +$$
$$B(\boldsymbol{\sigma_1}\hat{N}^*)(\boldsymbol{\sigma_2}\hat{N}^*) + C(\boldsymbol{\sigma_1} + \boldsymbol{\sigma_2})\cdot\hat{N}^* + \qquad (16)$$
$$D(\boldsymbol{\sigma_1}\hat{q}^*)(\boldsymbol{\sigma_2}\hat{q}^*) + F(\boldsymbol{\sigma_1}\hat{Q}^*)(\boldsymbol{\sigma_2}\hat{Q}^*)|\varkappa^*\mu_1\mu_2 > .$$

The orthonormal basis $\{\hat{q}^*, \hat{Q}^*, \hat{N}^*\}$ is a combination of the nucleon relative momenta in the initial $\varkappa^*$ and final $\varkappa'^*$ states:

$$\hat{q}^* = \frac{\varkappa^* - \varkappa^{*\prime}}{|\varkappa^* - \varkappa^{*\prime}|}, \quad \hat{Q}^* = \frac{\varkappa^* + \varkappa^{*\prime}}{|\varkappa^* + \varkappa^{*\prime}|}, \quad \hat{N}^* = \frac{\varkappa^* \times \varkappa^{*\prime}}{|\varkappa^* \times \varkappa^{*\prime}|}.$$

The amplitudes A, B, C, D, F are the functions of the centre-of-mass energy and scattering angle. The radial parts of these amplitudes are taken as a sum of Yukawa terms. A new fit of the model parameters [35] was done in accordance with the phase-shift-analysis data SP07 [36].

Since the matrix elements are expressed via the effective NN-interaction operators sandwiched between the initial and final plane-wave states, this construction can be extended to the off-shell case allowing the initial and final states to get the current values of $\varkappa$ and $\varkappa'$. Obviously, this extrapolation does not change the general spin structure.

The double scattering term can be divided into two parts: rescattering with a nucleon and Δ-isobar in the intermediate state.

$$\mathcal{J}_{DS} + \mathcal{J}_\Delta = 2 < N(1)| < \psi_d(23)|N(2)N(3) >$$
$$< N(2)N(3)|[1 - P_{12}]|t_3|\{N(1)N(2) + \Delta(1)N(2)\} >$$
$$g_0 < \{N(1)N(2) + \Delta(1)N(2)\}|t_2[1 - P_{13}]| \qquad (17)$$
$$< N(2)N(3)\psi_d(23) > |N(1) >$$

The double scattering contribution with the intermediate nucleon (DS) is defined by a deuteron wave function and two nucleon-nucleon t-matrixes. Also we have, here, three-nucleon propagator:

$$\mathcal{J}_{DS} = \int d\boldsymbol{p_2}d\boldsymbol{p'_3} < -\boldsymbol{P}_d\mathcal{M}'_d|\Omega_d^\dagger$$
$$| - \boldsymbol{P}_d - \boldsymbol{p}'_3\ m'_2, \boldsymbol{p}'_3\ m'_3 >$$
$$< \boldsymbol{p}'\ m', -\boldsymbol{P}_d - \boldsymbol{p}'_3\ m'_2, \boldsymbol{p}'_3\ m'_3|\{t^1_{3(NN)}(E')t^1_{2(NN)}(E) +$$
$$[t^1_{3(NN)}(E') + t^0_{3(NN)}(E')][t^1_{2(NN)}(E) + t^0_{2(NN)}(E)]/4\}$$
$$\{E_d + E_p - E_1 - E_2 - E'_3 + i\varepsilon\}^{-1} \qquad (18)$$
$$|\boldsymbol{p}\ m, \boldsymbol{p}_2\ m_2, \boldsymbol{P}_d - \boldsymbol{p}_2\ m_3 >$$
$$< \boldsymbol{p}_2\ m_2,\ \boldsymbol{P}_d - \boldsymbol{p}_2\ m_3|\Omega_d|\boldsymbol{P}_d\mathcal{M}_d > .$$

The argument of the NN-matrix is defined as the three-nucleon on-shell energy excluding the energy of the nucleon which does not participate in the interaction:

$$E = E_d + E_p - E_2, \qquad E' = E_d + E_p - E'_3. \qquad (19)$$

The superscript at the t-matrix in Eqs.(12), (18) refers to the isotopic momentum of the nucleon-nucleon pair.

3 Δ-isobar contribution.

The structure of the amplitude with Δ in the intermediate state looks like the double-scattering one. But here we have $NN \to \Delta N$ matrixes instead of the nucleon-nucleon matrixes and $NN\Delta$-propagator instead of the three-nucleon one.

$$\mathcal{J}_\Delta = 2\int d\boldsymbol{p_2}d\boldsymbol{p'_3}dE_\Delta d\boldsymbol{p_\Delta}\delta(E_\Delta - \sqrt{\mu^2 + \boldsymbol{p_\Delta^2}})$$
$$\delta(\boldsymbol{p} + \boldsymbol{P_d} - \boldsymbol{p_2} - \boldsymbol{p_3} - \boldsymbol{p_\Delta})$$
$${}_1 < \frac{1}{2}\tau'\frac{1}{2}m'\boldsymbol{p'}|_{23} < 00; -\boldsymbol{P_d}1\mathcal{M}'_d|\Omega_d^\dagger[1 - P_{12}]|t_{3(N\Delta)}(E')$$
$$\frac{1}{E - E_2 - E'_3 - E_\Delta - i\Gamma(E_\Delta/2)}|\Psi_{\boldsymbol{p}_\Delta}(E_\Delta) >_1$$
$$|\frac{1}{2}\tau_2\frac{1}{2}m_2\boldsymbol{p}_2; \frac{1}{2}\tau_3\frac{1}{2}m_3\boldsymbol{p}_3 >_{23} \qquad (20)$$
$${}_{23} < \frac{1}{2}\tau_2\frac{1}{2}m_2\boldsymbol{p}_2; \frac{1}{2}\tau_3\frac{1}{2}m_3\boldsymbol{p}_3|_1 < \Psi_{\boldsymbol{p}_\Delta}(E_\Delta)|$$
$$t_{2(N\Delta)}(E)[1 - P_{13}]\Omega_d|\boldsymbol{P_d}1\mathcal{M}_d; 00 >_{23} |\frac{1}{2}\tau\frac{1}{2}m\boldsymbol{p} >_1$$

Moreover, the Δ-isobar does not have a fixed mass and is defined by the mass-distribution. Therefore, we save here the integration over the Δ-energy, E_Δ. A full set of the particles quantum numbers was included into the amplitude definition in Eq.(20). Isospin and spin quantum numbers are marked by τ and m or $\mathcal{M}_d$, respectively. The indexes near the bracket correspond to the particles numbers.

The distribution function of the Δ-energy

$$|\Psi_{\boldsymbol{p}_\Delta}(E_\Delta) >< \Psi_{\boldsymbol{p}_\Delta}(E_\Delta)| = \rho(E_\Delta) \qquad (21)$$



Fig. 1. The diagrams included into consideration: (a) the one nucleon exchange diagram; (b) the single scattering diagram; (c) the double scattering diagram with a nucleon in the intermediate state; (d)the double scattering diagram with Δ-isobar in the intermediate state.

is defined through the Δ-width $\Gamma(\mu)$:

$$\rho(\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{\Gamma(\mu)}{(E_\Delta(\mu) - E_\Delta(m_\Delta))^2 + \Gamma^2(\mu)/4}, \quad (22)$$

where $\mu^2 = E_\Delta^2 - \boldsymbol{p}_\Delta^2$ is a squared current mass of the delta. The delta width is energy dependent. We use, here, a standard parameterization of $\Gamma(\mu)$ taking into account the Δ off-shell corrections:

$$\Gamma(\mu) = \Gamma_0 \frac{p^3(\mu^2, m_\pi^2)}{p^3(m_\Delta^2, m_\pi^2)} \cdot \frac{p^2(m_\Delta^2, m_\pi^2) + \gamma^2}{p^2(\mu^2, m_\pi^2) + \gamma^2}. \quad (23)$$

where $p(x^2, m_\pi^2)$ is the momentum value in the πN -center-of-mass:

$$p(x^2, m_\pi^2) = \sqrt{(x^2 + m_N^2 - m_\pi^2)^2/4x^2 - m_N^2}. \quad (24)$$

All parameters were taken from ref.[37]:

$$\Gamma_0 = 0.120\ GeV, \quad \gamma = 0.200\ GeV, \quad m_\Delta = 1.232 \quad (25)$$

In the Born approximation the $NN \to N\Delta$ t-matrix can be replaced with the corresponding potential $V_{(N\Delta)}(E)$:

$$< \boldsymbol{p}, \frac{1}{2}m, \frac{1}{2}\tau | t_{(N\Delta)}(E) | \Psi_{\boldsymbol{p}_\Delta}(E_\Delta) > \approx$$
$$< \boldsymbol{p}, \frac{1}{2}m, \frac{1}{2}\tau | V_{(N\Delta)}(E) | \Psi_{\boldsymbol{p}_\Delta}(E_\Delta) > \quad (26)$$

The potential for the $NN \to N\Delta$ transition is based on the $\pi-$ and $\rho-$ exchanges:

$$V_{\beta\alpha}^{(\pi)} = -\frac{f_\pi f_\pi^*}{m_\pi^2} F_\pi^2(t) \frac{q^2}{m_\pi^2 - t} (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{q})(\boldsymbol{S} \cdot \hat{q})(\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}) \quad (27)$$
$$V_{\beta\alpha}^{(\rho)} = -\frac{f_\rho f_\rho^*}{m_\rho^2} F_\rho^2(t) \frac{q^2}{m_\rho^2 - t} \{(\boldsymbol{\sigma S}) - (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{q})(\boldsymbol{S} \cdot \hat{q})\}(\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{T})$$

Here, t is a four-momentum transfer and $\boldsymbol{q}$ is a corresponding three-momentum transfer. Operators $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\tau})$ are $\frac{1}{2}$- spin (isospin) operators defined by Pauli matrixes while $\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{T})$ operators correspond to $\frac{1}{2} \to \frac{3}{2}$ spin (isospin) transition. m_π and m_ρ are pion and ρ- meson masses. The coupling



Fig. 2. The differential cross section at the deuteron kinetic energy of 500 MeV as a function of the c.m. scattering angle. The data are taken from[16] (•), and[1](∘).

constant f_π is related with the $NN\pi$ vertex and f^*_π corresponds to the $N\Delta\pi$ one. It also concerns $\rho-$ coupling constants.

$$f_\pi = 1.008 \qquad f^*_\pi = 2.156 \tag{28}$$
$$f_\rho = 7.8 \qquad f^*_\rho = 1.85 f_\rho \tag{29}$$

The hadronic form factor was chosen in the monopole form:

$$F_x(t) = (\Lambda_x^2 - m_x^2)/(\Lambda_x^2 - t) \tag{30}$$

In our calculation we use $\Lambda = 1$ GeV.

The presented approach for the Δ-isobar term was applied in refs. [37], [38] to describe the data on the Δ- production in the $pp \to n\Delta^{++}$. The obtained results were in a good agreement with the experimental data on the differential cross section.

Since two nucleon states in the $NN \to N\Delta$ vertexes are antisymmetrized, two permutation operators appear in Eq.(20). As consequence, the Δ- amplitude contains four terms: one direct, two exchange, and one double-exchange ones. The permutation operator P_{ij} involves the permutation of all quantum numbers. Here, it is permutation over momentum, spin, and isospin indexes: $P_{ij} = P_{ij}(p)P_{ij}(\sigma)P_{ij}(\tau)$.

The isotopic coefficient in Eq.(20) is equal for the all four contributions into the Δ- amplitude and defined as

$$c_T = < \frac{1}{2}\tau'_2\frac{1}{2}\tau'_3|00 >< \frac{1}{2}\tau'\frac{1}{2}\tau'_2|(\boldsymbol{T}_1\boldsymbol{\tau}_2)|\frac{3}{2}\tau_\Delta\frac{1}{2}\tau_2 > \tag{31}$$
$$< \frac{3}{2}\tau_\Delta\frac{1}{2}\tau'_3|(\boldsymbol{T}_1\boldsymbol{\tau}_3)|\frac{1}{2}\tau\frac{1}{2}\tau_3 >< \frac{1}{2}\tau_2\frac{1}{2}\tau_3|00 > .$$

It can be calculated independently on the spin part of the Δ- amplitude:

$$c_T = \frac{1}{12} < \frac{1}{2}\|T\|\frac{3}{2} >^2 < \frac{1}{2}\|\tau\|\frac{1}{2} >^2 = 2,$$

where the reduced matrix elements $< \frac{3}{2}\|T\|\frac{1}{2} >= < \frac{3}{2}\|S\|\frac{1}{2} >= 2$ and $< \frac{1}{2}\|\tau\|\frac{1}{2} >=< \frac{1}{2}\|\sigma\|\frac{1}{2} >= \sqrt{6}$ were substituted.

The permutation over spin states is defined in the same way it was done for the one-nucleon exchange term (8).

3.1 Kinematics

We consider, here, the kinematics of the double scattering diagram in detail. All the presented expressions concern the diagram with a Δ- excitation. However, they are also correct for the double-scattering diagram with a nucleon in the intermediate state, if $(E_\Delta, \boldsymbol{p}_\Delta)$ is replaced with $(E_1, \boldsymbol{p}_1)$. The momenta notations are shown in Fig.(1c).

The two nucleons relative momenta in the deuterons vertexes are defined in relativistic kinematics in the following manner:

$$\boldsymbol{p_0} = \frac{(E_2 + E^*)\boldsymbol{p}_3 - (E_3 + E^*)\boldsymbol{p}_2}{E_2 + E_3 + 2E^*} \tag{32}$$
$$\boldsymbol{p'_0} = \frac{(E'_2 + E'^*)\boldsymbol{p}'_3 - (E'_3 + E'^*)\boldsymbol{p}'_2}{E'_2 + E'_3 + 2E'^*}.$$

Here, E^* and E'^* are the energies one of the nucleon in the corresponding deuteron rest frame, which is equivalent



Fig. 3. The differential cross section at the deuteron kinetic energy of 880 MeV as a function of the c.m. scattering angle. The data are taken from [39] (•), and[40](○).

the two nucleons centre-of-mass:

$$E^* = \sqrt{(p_2+p_3)^2}/2, \qquad E'^* = \sqrt{(p'_2+p'_3)^2}/2 \quad (33)$$

In accordance to the momentum conservation in the deuteron vertexes we can get the following expressions for the internal deuteron momenta in the deuterons Breit frame:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{p_0} &= \frac{\boldsymbol{P}_d}{2} - \boldsymbol{p}_2 - \frac{E_2 - E_3}{E_2 + E_3 + 2E^*}\frac{\boldsymbol{P}_d}{2} \\ \boldsymbol{p'_0} &= \frac{\boldsymbol{P}_d}{2} + \boldsymbol{p}'_3 + \frac{E'_2 - E'_3}{E'_2 + E'_3 + 2E'^*}\frac{\boldsymbol{P}_d}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Note, that two first terms in these equations correspond to the ordinary definition of the nonrelativistic relative momentum.

The transfer momenta in the $NN \to \Delta N$ vertexes, $\boldsymbol{q}$ and $\boldsymbol{q}'$, are defined in a standard manner:

$$\boldsymbol{q} = \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{k}' - \boldsymbol{k}) \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{q}' = \frac{1}{2}(\varkappa' - \varkappa), \quad (35)$$

where vectors $\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{k}'$ are the nucleon-nucleon and nucleon-delta relative momenta in the $NN \to \Delta N$ vertex :

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{k} &= \frac{(E_3 + E^*_{13})\boldsymbol{p} - (E_p + E^*_{13})\boldsymbol{p}_3}{E_p + E_3 + 2E^*_{13}} \\ \boldsymbol{k}' &= \frac{(E'_3 + E'^*_{13})\boldsymbol{p}_\Delta - (E_\Delta + E'^*_{13})\boldsymbol{p}'_3}{E_\Delta + E'_3 + 2E'^*_{13}} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

and $\varkappa, \varkappa'$ are the nucleon-delta and nucleon-nucleon relative momenta in the $\Delta N \to NN$ vertex :

$$\begin{aligned} \varkappa &= \frac{(E_2 + E^*_{12})\boldsymbol{p}_\Delta - (E_\Delta + E^*_{12})\boldsymbol{p}_2}{E_\Delta + E_2 + 2E^*_{12}} \\ \varkappa' &= \frac{(E'_2 + E'^*_{12})\boldsymbol{p}' - (E_p + E'^*_{12})\boldsymbol{p}'_2}{E_p + E'_2 + 2E'^*_{12}}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

$E^*_{ij} = \sqrt{(p_i + p_j)^2}/2$ is the invariant variable defined by p_i and p_j four-momenta.

In this section we considered only a direct term in the amplitude with the delta in the intermediate state. The other terms can be obtained by the replacement the corresponding momentum with one with an opposite sign. In such a way $\boldsymbol{q} = (\boldsymbol{k}' - \boldsymbol{k})/2$, for example, replaces with $\boldsymbol{Q} = (\boldsymbol{k}' + \boldsymbol{k})/2$ etc.

3.2 Spin part

To simplify the calculation in this paper we consider the reduced $N \to \Delta$ t-matrix. The ρ-meson part is not taken into account. We believe that the π-meson term gives the general contribution into the differential cross section at backward angles. However, ρ-meson can be very important to describe some polarisation observables due to its vector nature. Therefore, it will be included into consideration in further calculations.

In such a way spin structure of the Δ-amplitude is defined by the expression:

$$_{(23)} < 1\mathcal{M}'|u(p'_0) +$$



Fig. 4. The differential cross section at the deuteron kinetic energy of 1200 MeV as a function of the c.m. scattering angle. The data are taken from [41] (•), and [40] (○).

$$\frac{w(p'_0)}{\sqrt{2}}\left[3(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2\hat{p'_0})(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_3\hat{p'_0})-(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2\boldsymbol{\sigma}_3)\right]|\frac{1}{2}m'_2\frac{1}{2}m'_3> <\frac{1}{2}m'_2|(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2\hat{q'})|\frac{1}{2}m_2><\frac{1}{2}m'_3|(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_3\hat{q})|\frac{1}{2}m_3> <\frac{1}{2}m_2\frac{1}{2}m_3|u(p_0)+ \quad (38)$$
$$\frac{w(p_0)}{\sqrt{2}}\left[3(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2\hat{p_0})(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_3\hat{p_0})-(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2\boldsymbol{\sigma}_3)\right]|1\mathcal{M}>_{(23)} <\frac{1}{2}m'|(\boldsymbol{S}_1\hat{q'})|\frac{3}{2}M_\Delta><\frac{3}{2}M_\Delta|(\boldsymbol{S}_1\hat{q})|\frac{1}{2}m>.$$

After straightforward calculations we get some combinations of $\sigma_{1,i}$, $\sigma_{1,i}\sigma_{2,j}$ and etc. sandwiched between deuterons spin states. It is useful to apply the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&_{(23)}<1\mathcal{M}'|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2,i}|1\mathcal{M}>_{(23)}=\\
&_{(23)}<1\mathcal{M}'|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{3,i}|1\mathcal{M}>_{(23)}=\\
&_{(23)}<1\mathcal{M}'|\boldsymbol{S}_{d,i}|1\mathcal{M}>_{(23)}\\
&_{(23)}<1\mathcal{M}'|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2,i}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{3,j}|1\mathcal{M}>_{(23)}>=\\
&<1\mathcal{M}'|\frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij}+2Q_{ij}|1\mathcal{M}>_{(23)},
\end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where $\boldsymbol{S}_d$ and Q_{ij} are the spin and tensor operators of a deuteron, respectively. Also the following expression

$$<\frac{1}{2}m'|(\boldsymbol{S}\hat{q'})|\frac{3}{2}M_\Delta><\frac{3}{2}M_\Delta|(\boldsymbol{S}\hat{q})|\frac{1}{2}m>= \frac{1}{6}<\frac{1}{2}\|S\|\frac{3}{2}>^2[(\hat{q}\hat{q'})<\frac{1}{2}m'|I|\frac{1}{2}m>+ \quad (40)$$
$$\frac{i}{2}<\frac{1}{2}m'|(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\hat{q}\times\hat{q'})|\frac{1}{2}m>]$$

is very helpful to simplify the calculations with 3/2-spin operators.

4 Results and discussions.

We applied the presented above model to describe the differential cross sections. The formal definition of the total cross section of deuteron-proton elastic scattering is given by

$$\sigma(dp\to dp)=(2\pi)^4\frac{1}{6}\int\frac{d\boldsymbol{P'}_d}{E'_d}\frac{d\boldsymbol{p'}}{E'_p}\delta(E_d+E_p-E'_d-E'_p) \delta(\boldsymbol{P}_d+\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{P'}_d-\boldsymbol{p'})\frac{|T|^2}{\sqrt{(P_dp)^2-P_d^2p^2}}, \quad (41)$$

where $|T|^2$ is the squared invariant amplitude defined as

$$|T|^2=|\sqrt{E'_dE'_p}\;\mathcal{J}_{dp\to dp}\;\sqrt{E_dE_p}|^2\equiv inv. \quad (42)$$

The expression for the differential cross section in the center-of-mass can be obtained through the scattering amplitude in the Breit frame as:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dcos\theta^*}=\frac{(2\pi)^5}{24s}\left(s-m_N^2-M_d^2+\frac{t}{2}\right)^2|\mathcal{J}^{(Breit)}_{dp\to dp}(s,t)|^2,$$

where $s=(P_d+p)^2$ and $t=(p-p')^2$ are invariant Mandelstam variables.



Fig. 5. The differential cross section at the deuteron kinetic energy of 1300 MeV as a function of the c.m. scattering angle. The data are taken for $T_d = 1200$ MeV from [41](•), $T_d = 1180$ MeV [40] (○), and $T_d = 1282$ MeV [42] (▲).

We evaluated the dp-elastic differential cross section at four energies in a wide range. The results presented for three cases: 1) only one-nucleon exchange (ONE) and single-scattering (SS) diagrams taken into account, 2) the double-scattering (DS) term is added into the consideration, and 3) the Δ-isobar excitation is included.

At first, the deuteron kinetic energy equal to 500 MeV was considered (Fig.2). One can see, the SS-diagram plays an important role up to the scattering angle equal to about 60°. The DS-contribution is significant at the scattering angle between 60° and 160°. The difference between the results taking into account the double scattering and without it reaches 2-3 times at $\theta^* = 120°$.

This energy is not high enough for the Δ-isobar manifestation. Therefore, the inclusion of the Δ-contribution term into the scattering amplitude does not have to influence remarkably to the result. In fact, the results obtained with and without the Δ-isobar term are undistinguished in a whole angular range.

The second energy is equal to 880 MeV (Fig.3). One can see, the contribution of the double-scattering is very significant at this energy. The inclusion of the DS-term into consideration allows to describe the behaviour of the differential cross section at the scattering angle range 60°− 140°. The difference between ONE+SS curve and ONE + SS + DS one reaches about 15 times. Note, the Δ excitation begins to manifest itself at the angle equal to about 120° and describes the behaviour of the experimental data at the angle above 140° where the differential cross section sharply increases.

The dp-elastic differential cross sections at energies equal to $T_d = 1200$ MeV and $T_d = 1300$ MeV are presented in Fig.4 and Fig.5, respectively. Here we can observe further enhancement of the double scattering term influence on the result. Also the contribution of the Δ - isobar increases significantly at the scattering angle above 140° .

Analysing the obtained results one can conclude the following. The differential cross sections are described quite well up to the scattering angles equal to 60° taking into account only the one-nucleon-exchange and single-scattering terms. If we consider the dp-elastic scattering at the angles large than 60°, it is necessary to include the double scattering term into consideration. It should be noted the double-scattering contribution into the reaction amplitude increases with the deuteron energy growing and may change the value of the differential cross section on a few orders in comparison with the result obtained without inclusion of the DS-term.

The sharp rise of the differential cross section at $\theta^* \geq 140°$, which is observed in the experimental data, points at the appearance of the addition reaction mechanism. The inclusion of the Δ-excitation term into consideration allowed to describe this rise of the differential cross section at the large scattering angles. The contribution of the Δ-isobar mechanism grows with the initial deuteron energy. It is negligible at $T_d = 500$ MeV and significant at $T_d = 1300$ MeV.

In such way we got a good description of the differential cross section of deuteron-proton elastic scattering in a whole angular range, from 0° to 180° of the scattering an-

gle, in a wide deuteron energy interval, between 500 MeV and 1300 MeV.

Acknowledgements: The author is grateful to Dr. V.P. Ladygin for fruitful discussions and interest in this problem. This work has been supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under grant $N^{\underline{0}}$ No.16-02-00203a.

References

1. R.D.Shamberger, *Phys.Rev.* **8**5, 424 (1952).
2. O.Chamberlain, M.O.Stern, Phys.Rev.**94**, (1954) 666
3. O.Chamberlain, D.D.Clark, Phys.Rev.**102**, (1956) 473
4. A.V.Crewe, et al., Phys.Rev.**114**, (1959) 1361
5. L.Marshall, et al., Phys.Rev.**95**, (1954) 1020
6. S.Marcowitz, Phys.Rev.**120**, (1960) 891
7. H.Postma, R.Wilson, Phys.Rev.**121**, (1961) 1229
8. G. Igo et al., Nucl.Phys.,**A195**, (1972) 33,
9. M.A. Nasser et al., Nucl.Phys., **A229**, (1974) 113
10. M.Garcon et al., Nucl.Phys.A **458**, 287 (1986).
11. J.Arvieux et al., Nucl.Phys.A **431**, 613 (1984).
12. M.Haji-Saied et al., Phys. Rev.C **36**, 2010 (1987).
13. Sun Tsu-hsun et al. Phys.Rev.C **31** 515 (1985).
14. A.Rahbar et al. Rhys. Lett.B **194** 338 (1987).
15. V.Ghazikhanian et al. Phys.Rev.C **43** 1532 (1991).
16. K.Hatanaka et al., Phys.Rev.**C66**, (2002) 044002
17. Y.Maeda et al., Phys.Rev.**C**, (2007) 014004
18. K.Ermish et al., Phys.Rev.**C71**, (2005) 064004
19. V.V. Glagolev, V.P. Ladygin, N.B. Ladygina, A.A. Terekhin, Eur.Phys.J. **A48**, (2012) 182
20. P.K.Kurilkin, et al., Phys.Lett.B **715**, (2012) 61
21. N.B.Ladygina, Phys.Atom.Nucl.**71**, (2008) 2039 .
22. N.B.Ladygina, Eur. J. Phys. A **42**, (2009) 91.
23. A.K.Kerman, L.S.Kisslinger, Phys.Rev. **180**, 1483 (1969).
24. L.A.Kondratyuk, F.M.Lev, Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. **26**, 153 (1977).
25. L.A.Kondratyuk, F.M.Lev, L.V.Shevchenko, Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. **29**, 558 (1979).
26. L.A.Kondratyuk, F.M.Lev, L.V.Shevchenko, Yad.Fiz. **33**, 1208 (1981).
27. A.Deltuva, K.Chmielewski, P.U.Sauer, Phys.Rev.**C67**, 034001 (2003).
28. A.Deltuva, R.Machleidt, P.U.Sauer, Phys.Rev.**C68**, 024005 (2003).
29. E.O.Alt, P.Grassberger, W.Sandhas, Nucl.Phys. **B2**, 167 (1967)
30. E.Schmid, H.Ziegelmann,*The Quantum Mechanical Three-Body Problem* (Oxford, Pergamon Press, 1974).
31. R.Machleidt, K.Holinde, Ch.Elster, Phys.Rep. **149**, 1 (1987).
32. R.Machleidt, Phys. Rev. **C63**, 024001 (2001).
33. M. Lacombe et al., Phys.Lett.**B101**, 139 (1981).
34. W.G.Love, M.A.Franey, Phys. Rev.C **2**4, 1073 (1981)
35. N.B.Ladygina (2008), e-preprint nucl-th/0805.3021
36. http://gwdac.phys.gwu.edu
37. B.K.Jain, A.B.Santra, Phys.Rep.**2**30, 1 (1993)
38. V.Dmitriev, O.Sushkov, C.Gaarde, Nucl.Phys. **A**459, 503 (1986)
39. N.E.Booth *et al.*, *Phys.Rev.D* **4**, 1261 (1971).
40. J.C.Alder *et al.*, *Phys.Rev.C* **6**, 2010 (1972).
41. E.T.Boschitz *et al.*, *Phys.Rev.C* **6**, 457 (1972).
42. E.Gülmez et al.,Phys.Rev.C **42**, 2067 (1991).